CONSERVATION GRIDLOCK

Which Western states are leading and lagging in protecting public lands

2023 UPDATE





Contents

Introduction	1
Table 1 — Western states ranked by acres protected between 2013 & 2022	2
The Analysis: Who's falling behind on conservation?	3
Table 2.1 — Acres of national public lands protected from 2003-2022, by state	4
Table 2.2 — States ranked by the percentage of all national public lands protected	5
Table 2.3 — Acres protected by Congress or by the president through the Antiquities Act	5
Data Considerations	6
Lagging on Conservation: Where legislation has stalled	7
Oregon: Opportunity beckons after a decade of falling behind	7
Arizona: Slim action for two decades and counting	9
Wyoming: Worst in the West	11
Leaders in Conservation	12
Conserving Public Lands Matters	13
Appendix	14

01 Introduction

Conserved public lands form the backdrop of everyday life in the Western United States. National parks and monuments, wildlife refuges, and other protected public lands are a destination for family getaways; they are the <u>setting for political ads</u> during every electoral cycle; and they are central to economic development strategies from <u>Wyoming</u> to <u>Washington</u> and <u>Oregon</u> to <u>Colorado</u>.

It is no wonder that <u>poll</u> after <u>poll</u> shows that voters of every political persuasion—Republicans, Democrats, and Independents—support expanding conservation and accelerating the pace at which our elected leaders are protecting American public lands.

But an analysis from the Center for Western Priorities based on publicly available government data shows that **not every Western state is living up to its conservation reputation**. States like Oregon and Arizona have a proud conservation tradition, however efforts by their elected leaders and Congress to conserve national public lands have fallen well behind their peers. The analysis

finds that in the last decade, Arizona, Oregon, and Wyoming have conserved far fewer acres of public lands than neighboring Western states (*Table 1*). In fact, these bottom three states, combined, have conserved 37 times fewer public land acres than the top three states: California, New Mexico, and Utah.

This analysis updates a May 2022 report from the Center for Western Priorities, which spotlighted Colorado, a state whose conservation track record in recent years was not living up to its reputation as a national conservation leader. Soon after the release of the report last year, Colorado Senator Michael Bennet worked alongside President Biden and his administration to secure permanent protections for the Camp Hale-Continental Divide National Monument. The widely celebrated action was the first new national monument created by President Biden, conserving nearly 54,000 acres in the Rocky Mountains with incredible historic and natural values, and outstanding opportunities for outdoor recreation.



Since 2013, only 172,600 acres of public lands in Oregon have been conserved as national parks, monuments, wildlife refuges, or national conservation areas. That ranks the state 9th out of 11 Western states. In Arizona, where 85 percent of voters support creating new protected areas, just 900 acres of national public lands have been conserved over the past decade. Wyoming has not conserved a single new acre of national public land since 2009.

The lack of progress is not for a shortage of locally-supported public land conservation proposals and champions. On the contrary.

In Oregon, a decades-long effort to permanently protect the stunning Owyhee Canyonlands has the support of 79 percent of Oregon voters. Legislation conserve Oregon's championed canyonlands, by Oregon Senators Ron Wyden and Jeff Merkley, has languished in Congress for almost ten years. In Arizona, the widely supported effort to safeguard the culturally and ecologically significant rimlands next to Canyon National Park, which are threatened by uranium mining, has been stalled for almost 15 years.

TABLE 1:

Western states ranked by acres protected between 2013 & 2022

State	Rank
California	1
Utah	2
New Mexico	3
Nevada	4
Montana	5
Colorado	6
Washington	7
Idaho	8
Oregon	9
Arizona	10
Wyoming	11

It is time for conservation champions in Congress to reconsider their strategy. Elected leaders from Arizona, Oregon, and other Western states continue the important work of drafting, introducing, and endeavoring to pass bills that would safeguard important lands and waters. But Congress does not function as it is intended and passing even the most common-sense and popular measures can be next to impossible. A single United States senator with a bone to pick can hold up legislation for no reason.



To get locally-driven, publicly-popular conservation initiatives moving again, Senators and Representatives should partner with President Biden to realize his historic commitment to conserve and restore America's lands and waters. In the early days of his administration, President Biden established the first ever national conservation target to protect 30 percent of U.S. lands and waters by 2030, promising to work alongside local communities, states, Tribal Nations, farmers and ranchers, fishermen and women, and a multitude of stakeholders.

U.S. Presidents: Antiquities Act, Conservation, & National Monuments

Two of the most important ways to permanently protect American public lands are through Congressional legislation and through the Antiquities Act. One of the most pivotal pieces of conservation legislation ever passed, the Antiquities Act allows the President to directly preserve "objects of historic and scientific interest" as national monuments.

- Some of America's most iconic national parks—like Zion, Arches, and the Grand Canyon—were first <u>protected</u> under the Antiquities Act.
- Since it was signed into law in 1906, 18 presidents—from President Theodore Roosevelt to President Donald J. Trump have designated <u>159 national monuments</u>, preserving some of the nation's most culturally and scientifically rich landscapes and historical sites.

By working in partnership, congressional leaders, the Biden administration, and communities from Arizona's Kaibab Plateau to Colorado's West Slope to Oregon's Owyhee Canyonlands can get conservation progress back on track. Together, they can take action—using legislative and administrative policy tools—to deliver for people and healthy economies across the Western United States.

02 The Analysis: Who's falling behind

To determine the amount of public lands protected over the last two decades, the Center for Western Priorities tallied the

on conservation?

acreage of all permanently protected areas designated between 2003 and 2022. Permanently protected areas include national monuments, wilderness national wildlife areas, refuges, and national parks, and other lesser known designations, like national conservation areas and mineral withdrawals. comprehensive list of the protected areas considered in this analysis and their corresponding data sources is available in the appendix.

By breaking out protected areas by their designation date, we were able to analyze and understand two decades of conservation

accomplishments in 11 Western states: Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

TABLE 2.1:

Acres of national public lands protected from 2003–2022, by state

Clasta	Acres Protected		s Protected Rank by Acres Protected		
State	2003–2012	2013–2022	Overall	2003–2012	2013–2022
Arizona	0	900	900	11	10
California	1,040,900	2,887,700	3,928,600	3	1
Colorado	533,500	371,000	904,500	4	6
Idaho	517,000	275,700	792,700	5	8
Montana	405,000	480,700	885,700	7	5
Nevada	1,327,100	1,133,800	2,460,900	1	4
New Mexico	62,000	1,293,400	1,355,400	10	3
Oregon	237,900	172,600	410,500	8	9
Utah	466,100	2,215,400	2,681,500	6	2
Washington	106,000	363,200	469,200	9	7
Wyoming	1,216,700	0	1,216,700	2	11

Conservation fared worst in Oregon, Arizona, and Wyoming. Between 2013 and 2022, Oregon and Arizona fell at the bottom of the pack—ranking 9th and 10th, respectively—with Wyoming in dead last at 11th. Over the past ten years, Oregon protected

just 172,600 acres of national public lands. For context, that's smaller than Oregon's <u>Crater Lake National Park</u>. Arizona protected only 900 acres, less than the <u>footprint of the Phoenix Airport</u>. And Wyoming protected nothing at all.

POLLING | Western voters want new protected areas

According to Colorado
College's 2023 *Conservation in the West* poll, creating
new protected areas is
overwhelmingly popular
among Western voters.

Do you support or oppose creating new national parks, national monuments, national wildlife refuges, and tribal protected areas to protect historic sites or areas for outdoor recreation?

84% of Western voters support

STATE | AZ 85% | CO 87% | ID 78% | MT 78% | BREAKDOWN | NV 85% | NM 88% | UT 78% | WY 79%

These states have not always been laggards. When you consider historical protections as a percentage of national public lands, Oregon, Arizona, and Wyoming all fare better (Table 2.2). But conservation momentum has slowed considerably over the past decade.

Declines in conservation progress in the West have paralleled mounting gridlock in Congress. Between 2013 and 2022, Congress protected just 3.8 million acres, a 2 million acre drop from the decade earlier.

But while acres protected by Congress have declined in recent years, acres protected by the president have increased to meet urgent conservation needs.

Between 2013 and 2022, significantly more acres were protected administratively, using presidential authority outlined under the Antiquities Act (*Table 2.3*).

TABLE 2.3:

Acres Protected by Congress		
2003–2012	2013–2022	
5,888,200	3,825,500	
Acres Protected by the President		
Acres Protected	by the President	
Acres Protected 2003-2012	by the President 2013–2022	

State	Acres of National Public Lands	* Percent of National Public Lands Protected
California	45,493,100	46%
Washington	12,192,900	45%
Arizona	28,078,000	32%
Montana	27,082,400	27%
Colorado	24,100,200	23%
Oregon	32,244,300	23%
Idaho	32,789,600	22%
Wyoming	29,137,700	22%
Nevada	56,262,600	19%
Utah	33,267,600	19%
New Mexico	24,665,800	18%

^{*} Protected acres are sourced from the Protected Areas Database of the U.S. and include the U.S. Geological Survey's GAP codes 1 and 2—permanently protected lands in a natural condition, where extractive uses are limited or prohibited. Last updated in April, 2021.

We must get locally-driven conservation initiatives moving again. And there is no better tool to protect languishing public lands than the Antiquities Act. For more than a century, and especially in the past two decades, the Antiquities Act has been used to conserve public lands and waters in urgent need of protection as national monuments, reducing the impacts of energy development on our national public lands and preventing loss or further damage to our cultural and ecological treasures.

The President's national conservation goal—to conserve and restore 30 percent of our lands and waters by 2030—requires urgent action. Conservation needs a jump start.

Data Considerations

Rocky Mountain

not considered.

Sometimes protected area designations overlay each other; national monuments and parks frequently include wilderness areas within their boundaries and some national monuments have later become national parks. In cases where a protected landscape was given a new protected area designation by Congress or the President, we included the new designation acreage in the tally. For example, in January 2019, New Mexico's White Sands National Monument was redesignated as a national park by Congress. Because our primary interest was conservation accomplishments over the last two decades, we included White Sands National Park in this analysis even though it was already protected and a national monument.

In cases where protected areas crossed state boundaries, we determined the amount of acres in each state and allotted those acres appropriately.

Finally, the date a protected area is designated occasionally differs from the date it is established. For example, Colorado's Rocky Mountain Arsenal National Wildlife Refuge was designated by Congress in 1992 with the stipulation that the lands would first undergo environmental remediation. The refuge was formally established in 2004 after the cleanup was complete. For the purpose of this analysis, we considered the designation date only.

Arsenal and similar protected areas designated before 2003 were

03 **Lagging on Conservation:** Where legislation has stalled



OREGON

Opportunity beckons after a decade of falling behind


Oregon's conservation record was on track in the early part of the 21st century, with more than 663,400 acres of American public lands protected in 2000 alone. This included permanent protections for the extraordinary Steens Mountain Wilderness and Cascade—Siskiyou National Monument. Since then, Oregon has lagged behind, only protecting an additional 172,600 acres of American public lands over the past decade. The state's underwhelming conservation track record is not for a lack of opportunity, Oregon currently has over 26 million acres of public lands, primarily managed by the United States Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management, which are open to development.


Oregon's congressional leaders, including Senators Wyden and Merkley, alongside the Biden administration have an opportunity to rewrite the recent past and restart conservation action in the Beaver State. There is an immediate opportunity to provide leadership and finally conserve the stunningly beautiful Owyhee Canyonlands and Sutton Mountain. If Congress remains incapable of moving legislation, Oregon leaders should work with President Biden to conserve both landscapes as a national monument using his authority under the Antiquities Act.

Both the Owyhees and Sutton Mountain are more than worthy of permanent conservation, but efforts to do so are languishing in Congress. Notably, the effort to better protect the Owyhee Canyonlands has been <u>six decades</u> in the making—and Senators Wyden and Merkley have been working for years

to conserve the landscape via legislation. In 2016, a <u>coalition</u> of organizations, alongside Oregon's elected leaders, including Representative Earl Blumenauer, requested President Obama conserve the Owyhee Canyonlands as a national monument. Unfortunately, these ecologically and culturally significant landscapes remain unprotected today.

Oregon's leaders and the president also have an immediate opportunity to partner with local communities, hunters, and other important stakeholders to <u>fulfill President Franklin D. Roosevelt's vision</u> to permanently safeguard the greater Hart-Sheldon landscape in southern Oregon. Connecting the Hart Mountain National Antelope Refuge in Oregon and the Sheldon National Wildlife Refuge in Nevada would <u>protect a critical sagebrush-steppe ecosystem</u> and support the survival of pronghorn and other wildlife.





ARIZONA

Slim action for two decades and counting

In Arizona, less than 1,000 acres of American public lands have been conserved since 2003. That translates to conserving less than 0.005 percent of the unprotected public lands currently open to industrial development in the state; a dismal track record for Arizona's elected leaders, especially for a state where 85 percent of voters support conserving public lands, protecting historic sites, and safeguarding areas for outdoor recreation.


Given the lack of action, you could be forgiven for thinking there were no locally-driven efforts to safeguard American public lands in Arizona. On the contrary.

Since 2008, Congressman Raúl Grijalva has been working to permanently conserve the lands and waters around Grand Canyon National Park. Since 2013, <u>legislation</u> to protect the <u>Great Bend of the Gila</u> between Phoenix and Yuma—one of the most meaningful, unprotected cultural landscapes in the nation—has been stuck in Congress. The effort to provide meaningful protections to Arizona's Sky Islands, one of the most biologically diverse locations on the planet, has also been ongoing for decades.

If Congress cannot deliver for the people of Arizona, then Arizona's elected leaders should work with President Biden to secure new protection for the state's public lands, starting with the Greater Grand Canyon. This proposal would permanently ban new uranium mines on about one million acres of American public lands that are connected to the Grand Canyon and the Colorado

River. It is championed by Tribal members and leaders from the <u>Havasupai Tribe</u>, the Hopi Tribe, and the Navajo Nation. A broad coalition of business owners, local government leaders, and nonprofit organizations, support conservation for the Greater Grand Canyon. Congressman Grijalva and the broader coalition <u>called on President Obama</u> to use the Antiquities Act and provide much-needed protections to the landscape as a national monument, but he never acted.

A bill sponsored by both of Arizona's U.S. Senators, Kyrsten Sinema and Mark Kelly, alongside Representatives Raúl Grijalva, Ruben Gallego, Ann Kirkpatrick, Greg Stanton, and Tom O'Halleran, is languishing in Congress. The legislation had passed the U.S. House of Representatives in 2019 with bipartisan support but was never even considered by the Senate.





Worst in the West

Conservation is part of the ethos of Wyoming. Yet, conservation action has been virtually non-existent in the past two decades, with one major exception. In 2009, Wyoming's congressional delegation unified around an effort—driven by Wyoming hunters, anglers, hikers, and outdoor

enthusiasts—to <u>permanently protect the 1.2</u> <u>million acre Wyoming Range</u> from oil and gas development. Since then, zero acres have been conserved, despite <u>two in three Wyoming voters</u> supporting new conserved and protected areas.

04 Leaders in Conservation

California, Utah, and New Mexico top the charts for conservation action over the last decade, collectively conserving over 6 million acres of American public lands since 2013. The standout over the decade is California, where the state's elected leaders have helped secure conservation for nearly 3 million acres of American public lands. This conservation push has buoyed the state's commitment to conserve 30 percent of California's lands and waters by 2030.

Unlike California, New Mexico, and Nevadawhere leaders have embraced public lands conservation to safeguard nature, expand recreation access, and support rural economies— Utah's leaders might view their inclusion on this list as regrettable. The state and many of its elected officials have led the anti-public lands charge in recent decades, supporting unpopular efforts to sell off American public lands and opposing Tribally-led conservation initiatives. Utah's elected officials aggressively advocated for the elimination of Bears Ears National Monument and cheered as President Trump eliminated safeguards for millions of acres of American public lands within Bears Ears and Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monuments. The former president's actions were viewed by legal scholars as illegal.

Though its elected leaders have been outspoken opponents of conservation—and Utah is currently pursuing litigation to eliminate Bears Ears National Monument—the Utah Office of Tourism has leveraged Bears Ears National Monument and other conserved public lands into a highly effective tourism campaign.



















Expanding protections for public lands supports communities, economies, and nature

Every 30 seconds, a <u>football field-worth</u> of America's natural areas is lost as the footprint of human development—roads, urban sprawl, pipelines—expands. Conserving our national public lands matters. Among other things, conserving new protected areas supports:

Expanding access to outdoor spaces for underserved communities

74 percent of communities of color and 70 percent of low-income communities in the contiguous United States live in <u>nature-deprived areas</u>. Creating new, close-to-home protected areas can help ensure that all Americans have access to the benefits of nature and clean natural resources.

Building economic vitality

With rising demand for outdoor recreation opportunities, protecting public lands offers communities an opportunity to <u>capture the economic benefits</u> of the outdoor economy—job growth, visitor spending, increased tax revenue—while safeguarding the lands and waters they know and love.

Harnessing the power of nature to fight climate change

Conserving 30 percent of our lands and waters by 2030 would secure an enormous amount of stored carbon and further expand nature's capacity as a carbon sink—sequestering an additional 215 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent annually,

the climate equivalent of taking <u>47 million</u> <u>cars off the road</u> each year.

Safeguarding landscapes with high ecological or cultural value

Our lands and waters reflect the diversity of the nation's geography, people, and wildlife. New protected areas can support the efforts of communities across the country—from Tribal Nations to frontline neighborhoods, Congressional delegations to city councils—working to fulfill their priorities for the stewardship of our natural, cultural, and historic resources.

Failing to conserve our lands and waters would have wide-reaching impacts for our communities and futures.

The Nature Gap would widen as communities of color and low income communities, who have historically lacked access to greenspace, continue to be denied safe, close-to-home outdoor spaces. Even access to existing protected areas would become more competitive as conservation progress fails to keep pace with rising demand for outdoor recreation opportunities. Indeed, the loss of nature would have profound effects on our well-being, impacting everything from the quality of the air we breathe to the availability of the food we eat and the water we drink.

Now is the time for conservation action—from California to Colorado. If we're going to save the natural systems upon which we depend, we have no choice but to buck the trend of the past two decades and rapidly scale up the pace of conservation progress across the West.

Appendix

Designation	Description	How is it designated?	Data source
National monuments	National monuments protect areas of historic, cultural, or scientific significance. While they allow existing uses, like permitted grazing or energy development, monuments prohibit future development. Can be managed by any of the federal land management agencies.	By the president or by Congress	Publicly available data from the Natural Resources Defense Council and public law
Wilderness areas	Wilderness areas safeguard pristine wild lands and preserve outstanding opportunities for solitude in nature. They can be managed by any of the federal land management agencies and may be found inside national parks or monuments.	By Congress	Publicly available reports from the Congressional Research Service
National parks and preserves	National parks protect some of our most iconic large landscapes. While national parks often prohibit hunting and fishing, national preserves—which are also managed by the National Park Service—allow these forms of recreation.	By Congress	Publicly available data from the National Park Service
National wildlife refuges	Wildlife refuges prioritize the conservation of fish and wildlife habitat and provide outstanding recreation opportunities for hunters and anglers.	By the president, the secretary of the Interior, or by Congress	Public law and records in the Federal Register
Other Forest Service areas	The Forest Service has a multitude of designation types created to protect outstanding scenic, recreational, and ecological values. These include national recreation areas, national preserves, national scenic areas, and special management areas.	By Congress	Publicly available data from the U.S. Forest Service and public law
Other Bureau of Land Management areas	In addition to national monuments and wilderness areas, the Bureau of Land Management's National Conservation Landscape System includes national conservation areas, outstanding natural areas, and cooperative management areas. These public lands offer exceptional natural and cultural value protected for the enjoyment of present and future generations.	By Congress	Publicly available data from the Bureau of Land Management Areas
Mineral withdrawals	Public lands can be permanently withdrawn from mineral development by Congress. While the administration can temporarily withdraw lands from development to preserve existing values, only Congress can permanently prohibit mineral extraction.	By Congress	Public law and supporting documentation, like Public Land Orders and maps